

Stochastic processes: generating functions and identification *

Jean-Marie Dufour [†]
McGill University

First version: March 2002

Revised: September 2002, April 2004, September 2004, January 2005, July 2011,
July 2016

This version: July 2016

Compiled: January 10, 2017, 16:15

*This work was supported by the William Dow Chair in Political Economy (McGill University), the Bank of Canada (Research Fellowship), the Toulouse School of Economics (Pierre-de-Fermat Chair of excellence), the Universidad Carlos III de Madrid (Banco Santander de Madrid Chair of excellence), a Guggenheim Fellowship, a Konrad-Adenauer Fellowship (Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation, Germany), the Canadian Network of Centres of Excellence [program on *Mathematics of Information Technology and Complex Systems* (MITACS)], the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, and the Fonds de recherche sur la société et la culture (Québec).

[†] William Dow Professor of Economics, McGill University, Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en analyse des organisations (CIRANO), and Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en économie quantitative (CIREQ). Mailing address: Department of Economics, McGill University, Leacock Building, Room 414, 855 Sherbrooke Street West, Montréal, Québec H3A 2T7, Canada. TEL: (1) 514 398 4400 ext. 09156; FAX: (1) 514 398 4800; e-mail: jean-marie.dufour@mcgill.ca. Web page: <http://www.jeanmariedufour.com>

Contents

List of Definitions, Assumptions, Propositions and Theorems	i
1. Generating functions and spectral density	1
2. Inverse autocorrelations	5
3. Multiplicity of representations	6
3.1. Backward representation ARMA models	6
3.2. Multiple moving-average representations	7
3.3. Redundant parameters	9
4. Proofs and references	9

List of Definitions, Assumptions, Propositions and Theorems

Definition 1.1 : Generating function	1
Proposition 1.1 : Convergence annulus of a generating function	1
Proposition 1.2 : Sums and products of generating functions	1
Proposition 1.3 : Convergence of autocovariance generating functions	2
Proposition 1.4 : Identifiability of autocovariances and autocorrelations by generating functions	2
Proposition 1.5 : Generating function of the autocovariances of a MA(∞) process	3
Corollary 1.6 : Generating function of the autocovariances of an ARMA process	3
Corollary 1.7 : Generating function of the autocovariances of a filtered process	3
Definition 1.2 : Spectral density	4
Corollary 1.8 : Convergence and properties of the spectral density	4
Corollary 1.9 : Spectral densities of special processes	5
Definition 2.1 : Inverse autocorrelations	5

1. Generating functions and spectral density

Generating functions constitute a convenient technique for representing and determining the auto-covariance structure of a stationary process.

Definition 1.1 GENERATING FUNCTION. Let $(a_k : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ and $(b_k : k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)$ two sequences of complex numbers. Let $D(a) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ the set of points $z \in \mathbf{C}$ at which the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ converges, and $D(b) \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ the set of points z for which where the series $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ converges. Then the functions

$$a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, z \in D(a) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$b(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k z^k, z \in D(b) \quad (1.2)$$

are called the generating functions of the sequences a_k and b_k respectively.

Proposition 1.1 CONVERGENCE ANNULUS OF A GENERATING FUNCTION. Let $(a_k : k \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Then the generating function

$$a(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.3)$$

converges for $R_1 < |z| < R_2$ where

$$R_1 = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{-k}|^{1/k}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$R_2 = 1 / \left[\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

and diverges for $|z| < R_1$ or $|z| > R_2$. If $R_2 < R_1$, $a(z)$ converges nowhere and, if $R_1 = R_2$, $a(z)$ diverges everywhere except possibly, for $|z| = R_1 = R_2$. Further, when $R_1 < R_2$, the coefficients a_k are uniquely defined, and

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{a(z) dz}{(z - z_0)^{k+1}}, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (1.6)$$

where $C = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - z_0| = R\}$ and $R_1 < R < R_2$.

Proposition 1.2 SUMS AND PRODUCTS OF GENERATING FUNCTIONS. Let $(a_k : k \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $(b_k \in \mathbb{Z})$ two sequences of complex numbers such that the generating functions $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ converge for $R_1 < |z| < R_2$, where $0 \leq R_1 < R_2 \leq \infty$. Then,

1. the generating function of the sum $c_k = a_k + b_k$ is $c(z) = a(z) + b(z)$;
2. if the product sequence

$$d_k = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j b_{k-j} \quad (1.7)$$

converges for any k , the generating function of the sequence d_k is

$$d(z) = a(z)b(z). \quad (1.8)$$

Further, the series $c(z)$ and $d(z)$ converge for $R_1 < |z| < R_2$.

We will be especially interested by generating functions of autocovariances γ_k and autocorrelations ρ_k of a second-order stationary process X_t :

$$\gamma_x(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k z^k, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\rho_x(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k z^k = \gamma_x(z)/\gamma_0. \quad (1.10)$$

We see immediately that the generating function with a white noise $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ is constant::

$$\gamma_u(z) = \sigma^2, \rho_u(z) = 1. \quad (1.11)$$

Proposition 1.3 CONVERGENCE OF AUTOCOVARIANCE GENERATING FUNCTIONS. *Let $\gamma_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the autocovariances of a second-order stationary process X_t , and $\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the corresponding autocorrelations.*

1. If $R \equiv \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\rho_k|^{1/k} < 1$, the generating functions $\gamma_x(z)$ and $\rho_x(z)$ converge for $R < |z| < 1/R$.
2. If $R = 1$, the functions $\gamma_x(z)$ and $\rho_x(z)$ diverge everywhere, except possibly on the circle $|z| = 1$.
3. If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\rho_k| < \infty$, the functions $\gamma_x(z)$ and $\rho_x(z)$ converge absolutely and uniformly on the circle $|z| = 1$.

Proposition 1.4 IDENTIFIABILITY OF AUTOCOVARIANCES AND AUTOCORRELATIONS BY GENERATING FUNCTIONS. *Let γ_k and $\rho_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, autocovariance and autocorrelation sequences such that*

$$\gamma(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k z^k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma'_k z^k, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k z^k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho'_k z^k \quad (1.13)$$

where the series considered converge for $R < |z| < 1/R$, where $R \geq 0$. Then $\gamma_k = \gamma'_k$ and $\rho_k = \rho'_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 1.5 GENERATING FUNCTION OF THE AUTOCOVARIANCES OF A MA(∞) PROCESS. Let $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ a second-order stationary process such that

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j u_{t-j} \quad (1.14)$$

where $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$. If the series

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j z^j \quad (1.15)$$

and $\psi(z^{-1})$ converge absolutely, then

$$\gamma_x(z) = \sigma^2 \psi(z) \psi(z^{-1}). \quad (1.16)$$

Corollary 1.6 GENERATING FUNCTION OF THE AUTOCOVARIANCES OF AN ARMA PROCESS. Let $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ a second-order stationary and causal ARMA(p, q) process, such that

$$\varphi(B)X_t = \bar{\mu} + \theta(B)u_t \quad (1.17)$$

where $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$, $\varphi(z) = 1 - \varphi_1 z - \dots - \varphi_p z^p$ and $\theta(z) = 1 - \theta_1 z - \dots - \theta_q z^q$. Then the generating function of the autocovariances of X_t is

$$\gamma_x(z) = \sigma^2 \frac{\theta(z) \theta(z^{-1})}{\varphi(z) \varphi(z^{-1})} \quad (1.18)$$

for $R < |z| < 1/R$, where

$$0 < R = \max\{|G_1|, |G_2|, \dots, |G_p|\} < 1 \quad (1.19)$$

and $G_1^{-1}, G_2^{-1}, \dots, G_p^{-1}$ are the roots of the polynomial $\varphi(z)$.

Proposition 1.7 GENERATING FUNCTION OF THE AUTOCOVARIANCES OF A FILTERED PROCESS. Let $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ a second-order stationary process and

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j X_{t-j}, t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.20)$$

where $(c_j : j \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a sequence of real constants such that $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty$. If the series $\gamma_x(z)$ and

$c(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j z^j$ converge absolutely, then

$$\gamma_y(z) = c(z)c(z^{-1})\gamma_x(z). \quad (1.21)$$

Definition 1.2 SPECTRAL DENSITY. Let X_t a second-order stationary process such that the generating function of the autocovariances $\gamma_x(z)$ converge for $|z| = 1$. The spectral density of the process X_t is the function

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\gamma_0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cos(\omega k) \right] \\ &= \frac{\gamma_0}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cos(\omega k) \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

where the coefficients γ_k are the autocovariances of the process X_t . The function $f_x(\omega)$ is defined for all the values of ω such that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cos(\omega k)$ converges.

Remark 1.1 If the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cos(\omega k)$ converges, it is immediate that $\gamma_x(e^{-i\omega})$ converge and

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \gamma_x(e^{-i\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k e^{-i\omega k} \quad (1.23)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proposition 1.8 CONVERGENCE AND PROPERTIES OF THE SPECTRAL DENSITY. Let $\gamma_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, be an autocovariance function such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_k| < \infty$. Then

1. the series

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{\gamma_0}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cos(\omega k) \quad (1.24)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in ω ;

2. the function $f_x(\omega)$ is continuous ;

3. $f_x(\omega + 2\pi) = f_x(\omega)$ and $f_x(-\omega) = f_x(\omega), \forall \omega$;

4. $\gamma_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_x(\omega) \cos(\omega k) d\omega, \forall k$;

5. $f_x(\omega) \geq 0$;

6. (6) $\gamma_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_x(\omega) d\omega$.

Proposition 1.9 SPECTRAL DENSITIES OF SPECIAL PROCESSES. Let $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a second-order stationary process with autocovariances $\gamma_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. If $X_t = \mu + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j u_{t-j}$ where $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$, then

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \psi(e^{i\omega}) \psi(e^{-i\omega}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} |\psi(e^{i\omega})|^2. \quad (1.25)$$

2. If $\varphi(B)X_t = \bar{\mu} + \theta(B)u_t$, where $\varphi(B) = 1 - \varphi_1 B - \dots - \varphi_p B^p$, $\theta(B) = 1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q$ and $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$, then

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \left| \frac{\theta(e^{i\omega})}{\varphi(e^{i\omega})} \right|^2 \quad (1.26)$$

3. If $Y_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j X_{t-j}$ where $(c_j : j \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a sequence of real constants such that $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty$, and if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_k| < \infty$, then

$$f_y(\omega) = |c(e^{i\omega})|^2 f_x(\omega). \quad (1.27)$$

2. Inverse autocorrelations

Definition 2.1 INVERSE AUTOCORRELATIONS. Let $f_x(\omega)$ the spectral density of a second-order stationary process $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If the function $1/f_x(\omega)$ is also a spectral density, the autocovariances $\gamma_x^{(I)}(k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$, associated with the inverse spectrum inverse $1/f_x(\omega)$ are called the inverse autocovariances of the process X_t , i.e.

$$\gamma_x^{(I)}(k) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{f_x(\omega)} \cos(\omega k) d\omega, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

The inverse autocovariances satisfy the equation

$$\frac{1}{f_x(\omega)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_x^{(I)}(k) \cos(\omega k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \gamma_x^{(I)}(0) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_x^{(I)}(k) \cos(\omega k). \quad (2.2)$$

The inverse autocorrelations are

$$\rho_x^{(I)}(k) = \gamma_x^{(I)}(k) / \gamma_x^{(I)}(0), k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3)$$

A sufficient condition for the function $1/f_x(\omega)$ to be a spectral density is that the function $1/f_x(\omega)$ be continuous on the interval $-\pi \leq \omega \leq \pi$, which entails that $f_x(\omega) > 0, \forall \omega$.

If the process X_t is a second-order stationary $ARMA(p, q)$ process such that

$$\varphi_p(B)X_t = \bar{\mu} + \theta_q(B)u_t \quad (2.4)$$

where $\varphi_p(B) = 1 - \varphi_1 B - \dots - \varphi_p B^p$ and $\theta_q(B) = 1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q$ are polynomials whose roots are all outside the unit circle and $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$, then

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \left| \frac{\theta_q(e^{i\omega})}{\varphi_p(e^{i\omega})} \right|^2, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{1}{f_x(\omega)} = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma^2} \left| \frac{\varphi_p(e^{i\omega})}{\theta_q(e^{i\omega})} \right|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

The inverse autocovariances $\gamma_x^{(l)}(k)$ are the autocovariances associated with the model

$$\theta_q(B)X_t = \bar{\mu} + \varphi_p(B)v_t \quad (2.7)$$

where $\{v_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, 1/\sigma^2)$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is some constant. Consequently, the inverse autocorrelations of an $ARMA(p, q)$ process behave like the autocorrelations of an $ARMA(q, p)$. For an process $AR(p)$ process,

$$\rho_x^{(l)}(k) = 0, \text{ for } k > p. \quad (2.8)$$

For a $MA(q)$ process, the inverse partial autocorrelations (*i.e.* the partial autocorrelations associated with the inverse autocorrelations) are equal to zero for $k > q$. These properties can be used for identifying the order of a process.

3. Multiplicity of representations

3.1. Backward representation ARMA models

By the backward Wold theorem, we know that any strictly indeterministic second-order stationary process $X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written in the form

$$X_t = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}_j \bar{u}_{t+j} \quad (3.1)$$

where \bar{u}_t is a white noise such that $E(X_{t-j}\bar{u}_t) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$. In particular, if

$$\varphi_p(B)(X_t - \mu) = \theta_q(B)u_t \quad (3.2)$$

where the polynomials $\varphi_p(B) = 1 - \varphi_1 B - \dots - \varphi_p B^p$ and $\theta_q(B) = 1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q$ have all their roots outside the unit circle and $\{u_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$, the spectral density of X_t is

$$f_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \left| \frac{\theta_q(e^{i\omega})}{\varphi_p(e^{i\omega})} \right|^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider the process

$$Y_t = \frac{\varphi_p(B^{-1})}{\theta_q(B^{-1})} (X_t - \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j (X_{t+j} - \mu). \quad (3.4)$$

By Proposition 1.9, the spectral density of Y_t is

$$f_y(\omega) = \left| \frac{\varphi_p(e^{i\omega})}{\theta_q(e^{i\omega})} \right|^2 f_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \quad (3.5)$$

and thus $\{Y_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$. If we define $\bar{u}_t = Y_t$, we see that

$$\frac{\varphi_p(B^{-1})}{\theta_q(B^{-1})} (X_t - \mu) = \bar{u}_t \quad (3.6)$$

or

$$\varphi_p(B^{-1})X_t = \bar{\mu} + \theta_q(B^{-1})\bar{u}_t, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$X_t - \varphi_1 X_{t+1} - \dots - \varphi_p X_{t+p} = \bar{\mu} + \bar{u}_t - \theta_1 \bar{u}_{t+1} - \dots - \theta_q \bar{u}_{t+q} \quad (3.8)$$

where $(1 - \varphi_1 - \dots - \varphi_p)\mu = \bar{\mu}$. We call (3.6) or (3.8) the backward representation of the X_t process.

3.2. Multiple moving-average representations

Let $\{X_t\} \sim \text{ARIMA}(p, d, q)$. Then

$$W_t = (1 - B)^d X_t \sim \text{ARMA}(p, q). \quad (3.9)$$

If we suppose that $E(W_t) = 0$, W_t satisfies an equation of the form

$$\varphi_p(B)W_t = \theta_q(B)u_t \quad (3.10)$$

or

$$W_t = \frac{\theta_q(B)}{\varphi_p(B)} u_t = \psi(B)u_t. \quad (3.11)$$

To determine an appropriate *ARMA* model, one typically estimates the autocorrelations ρ_k . The latter are uniquely determined by the generating function of the autocovariances:

$$\gamma_x(z) = \sigma^2 \psi(z) \psi(z^{-1}) = \sigma^2 \frac{\theta_q(z)}{\phi_p(z)} \frac{\theta_q(z^{-1})}{\phi_p(z^{-1})}. \quad (3.12)$$

If

$$\theta_q(z) = 1 - \theta_1 z - \dots - \theta_q z^q = (1 - H_1 z) \cdots (1 - H_q z) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j z), \quad (3.13)$$

then

$$\gamma_x(z) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\phi_p(z) \phi_p(z^{-1})} \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j z)(1 - H_j z^{-1}). \quad (3.14)$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - H_j z)(1 - H_j z^{-1}) &= 1 - H_j z - H_j z^{-1} + H_j^2 = H_j^2 (1 - H_j^{-1} z - H_j^{-1} z^{-1} + H_j^{-2}) \\ &= H_j^2 (1 - H_j^{-1} z)(1 - H_j^{-1} z^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

hence

$$\gamma_x(z) = \frac{\left[\sigma^2 \prod_{j=1}^q H_j^2 \right]}{\phi_p(z) \phi_p(z^{-1})} \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j^{-1} z) (1 - H_j^{-1} z^{-1}) = \bar{\sigma}^2 \frac{\theta'_q(z) \theta'_q(z^{-1})}{\phi_p(z) \phi_p(z^{-1})} \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\bar{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 \prod_{j=1}^q H_j^2, \quad \theta'_q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j^{-1} z). \quad (3.17)$$

$\gamma_x(z)$ in (3.16) can be viewed as the generating function of a process of the form

$$\phi_p(B) W_t = \theta'_q(B) \bar{u}_t = \left[\prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j^{-1} B) \right] \bar{u}_t \quad (3.18)$$

while $\gamma_x(z)$ in (3.14) is the generating function of

$$\phi_p(B) W_t = \theta_q(B) u_t = \left[\prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j B) \right] u_t. \quad (3.19)$$

The processes (3.18) and (3.19) have the same autocovariance function and thus cannot be distinguished by looking at their second moments.

Example 3.1 Identification of an *ARMA*(1, 1) model

$$(1 - 0.5B)W_t = (1 - 0.2B)(1 + 0.1B)u_t \quad (3.20)$$

$$(1 - 0.5B)W_t = (1 - 5B)(1 + 10B)\bar{u}_t \quad (3.21)$$

have the same autocorrelation function.

In general, the models

$$\varphi_p(B)W_t = \left[\prod_{j=1}^q (1 - H_j^{\pm 1} B) \right] \bar{u}_t \quad (3.22)$$

all have the same autocovariance function (and are thus indistinguishable). Since it is easier with an invertible model, we select

$$H_j^* = \begin{cases} H_j & \text{if } H_j < 1 \\ H_j^{-1} & \text{if } H_j > 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

where $|H_j| \leq 1$, in order to have an invertible model.

3.3. Redundant parameters

Suppose $\varphi_p(B)$ and $\theta_q(B)$ have a common factor, say $G(B)$:

$$\varphi_p(B) = G(B)\varphi_{p_1}(B), \quad \theta_q(B) = G(B)\theta_{q_1}(B). \quad (3.24)$$

Consider the models

$$\varphi_p(B)W_t = \theta_q(B)u_t \quad (3.25)$$

$$\varphi_{p_1}(B)W_t = \theta_{q_1}(B)u_t. \quad (3.26)$$

The MA(∞) representations of these two models are

$$W_t = \psi(B)u_t, \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$\psi(B) = \frac{\theta_q(B)}{\varphi_p(B)} = \frac{\theta_{q_1}(B)G(B)}{\varphi_{p_1}(B)G(B)} = \frac{\theta_{q_1}(B)}{\varphi_{p_1}(B)} \equiv \psi_1(B), \quad (3.28)$$

$$W_t = \psi_1(B)u_t. \quad (3.29)$$

(3.25) and (3.26) have the same MA(∞) representation, hence the same autocovariance generating functions:

$$\gamma_x(z) = \sigma^2 \psi(z)\psi(z^{-1}) = \sigma^2 \psi_1(z)\psi_1(z^{-1}). \quad (3.30)$$

It is not possible to distinguish a series generated by (3.25) from one produced with (3.26). Among these two models, we will select the simpler one, *i.e.* (3.26). Further, if we tried to estimate (3.25) rather than (3.26), we would meet singularity problems (in the covariance matrix of the estimators).

4. Proofs and references

A general overview of the technique of generating functions is available in Wilf (1994).

References

WILF, H. S. (1994): *Generatingfunctionology*. Academic Press, New York, second edn.